

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ALPHA ENERGY AND ELECTRIC, INC.,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 JAKUB MEDRALA and THE MEDRALA  
7 LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-02053-APG-NJK

**Order Granting Motion for Determination  
of Good Faith Settlement**

[ECF No. 14]

9 Defendants Jakub Medrala and The Medrala Law Firm (collectively, Medrala) move for  
10 an order declaring that their settlement with plaintiff Alpha Energy was made in good faith. ECF  
11 No. 14. That declaration would shield Medrala from claims by any other tortfeasor for  
12 contribution and equitable indemnity. Nev. Rev. Stat. § 17.245.

13 I have considered the relevant factors discussed by the Supreme Court of Nevada in *The*  
14 *Drs. Co. v. Vincent*, 98 P.3d 681, 686-87 (Nev. 2004). *See also In re MGM Grand Hotel Fire*  
15 *Litig.*, 570 F. Supp. 913, 927 (D. Nev. 1983) (same factors). Medrala can assert reasonable  
16 defenses against Alpha's claims. The settlement is within the limits of Medrala's insurance  
17 policy. Medrala's financial condition was not a factor in the settlement, as the case was resolved  
18 to avoid costs and fees going forward. There is no evidence of fraud or collusion between  
19 Medrala and Alpha. There are several good reasons for Alpha to settle with Medrala, and the  
20 settlement amount is appropriate in light of those reasons.

21 ////

22 ////

23 ////

1 I THEREFORE ORDER that the defendants' motion (**ECF No. 14**) is **granted**. I find  
2 that the defendants' settlement with plaintiff Alpha Energy and Electric, Inc. was made in good  
3 faith, and the defendants are entitled to the protections of Nevada Revised Statutes § 17.245.

4 DATED this 31st day of July, 2025.

5  
6 

7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 ANDREW P. GORDON  
9 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23